

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,357		10/31/2001	Jerome T. Hartlaub	11738.00045	2290	
27581	7590	07/13/2005		EXAMINER		
MEDTRON			LAU, TUNG S			
710 MEDTR MS-LC340	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	N 55432-5604		2863		
				DATE MAILED: 07/13/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		Com
	10/001,35	7	HARTLAUB, JER	OME T.	(a)
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Tung S. La	u	2863		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin lory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		n.
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 3 Since this application is in condition for allo	This action is no		esecution as to the	e merits is	s
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 and 19-28 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-8,13,14,17 and 29-47 is/are allo 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,15,16,18,48 and 49 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	s/are withdrawn owed. jected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	,				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		-			
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	• , ,	•	` '	ED 1 1017	al\
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	.		•	u).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have beer nents have beer priority docume ireau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· ·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 9-11, 19, 21-25, 20, 26-28 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected without traverse invention as noted in paper 3-23-2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - a. Claims 1, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nappholz et al. (U.S. Patent 5,188,106) in view of Wojcicki et al. (U.S.Patent 5,190,522).

Regarding claim 1:

Nappholz discloses a computing device in communication with an implantable drug delivery device for delivering at least one drug to a patient, the drug delivery device having a reservoir containing at least one drug and a nonconformance monitor module configured to monitor at least one performance parameter, the computing device comprising an external acquisition device, the external acquisition (Col. 16-17, Lines 53-14) device comprising in combination: (a) a memory having stored therein pump performance acquisition instructions (Col. 29, Lines 24-67); (b) a telemetry module (fig. 10, unit 103) providing

bi-directional communication between the external acquisition device (Col. 16-17, Lines 53-14) and the implantable drug delivery device and providing the pump performance acquisition instructions to the implantable drug delivery device and receiving pump performance data from the implantable drug delivery device (fig. 10, unit 110, 102, 115, 120, Col. 33, Lines 42-56).

Regarding claim 48:

Nappholz discloses a computing device in communication with an implantable drug delivery' device for delivering at least one drug to a patient, the drug delivery device having a reservoir containing at least one drug and a nonconformance monitor module configured to monitor at least one performance parameter (Col. 4-5, Lines 50-39), the computing device comprising an external acquisition device, the external acquisition device (Col. 16-17, Lines 53-14) comprising in combination: a. a memory having stored therein pump performance acquisition instructions and pump manufacturer requirements information (Col. 29, Lines 24-67); (b) a telemetry module (fig. 10, unit 103) providing bi-directional communication between the external acquisition computing device (Col. 16-17, Lines 53-14) and the implantable drug delivery device and providing the pump performance acquisition instructions to the implantable drug delivery device and receiving pump performance data from the implantable drug delivery device (fig. 10, unit 110, 102, 115, 120, Col. 33, Lines 42-56).

Regarding claim 49:

Art Unit: 2863

Nappholz discloses a computing device in communication with an implantable drug delivery device for delivering at least one drug to a patient, the drug delivery device having a reservoir containing at least one drug and a nonconformance monitor module configured to monitor at least one performance parameter (abstract), the computing device comprising an external acquisition device (Col. 4-5, Lines 50-39), the external acquisition device comprising in combination: (a) a memory having stored therein pump performance acquisition instructions (Col. 29, Lines 24-67) and a scheduling module for scheduling an appointment to service the device (Col. 4-5, Lines 50-39); (b) a telemetry module (fig. 10, unit 103) providing bi-directional communication between the external acquisition device and the implantable drug delivery device and providing the pump performance acquisition instructions (Col. 16-17, Lines 53-14) to the implantable drug delivery device and receiving pump performance data from the implantable drug delivery device (fig. 10, unit 110, 102, 115, 120, Col. 33, Lines 42-56).

Nappholz does not disclose a nonconformance management module contained within the memory receiving the pump performance data and determining whether the pump is conforming to performance requirements, and determining what action should be taken if non conformance is determined; Wojcicki discloses a nonconformance management module contained within the memory receiving the pump performance data and determining whether the pump is conforming to performance requirements, and determining what action should

be taken if non conformance is determined (Col. 4, Lines 15-39), in order to have an accurate monitoring system and guarantees that the drug infused into the body of the patient (Col. 4, Lines 3-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nappholz to have a nonconformance management module contained within the memory receiving the pump performance data and determining whether the pump is conforming to performance requirements, and determining what action should be taken if non conformance is determined taught by Wojcicki in order to have an accurate monitoring system and guarantees that the drug infused into the body of the patient (Col. 4, Lines 3-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wojcicki et al. (U.S.Patent 5,190,522).

Regarding claim 12:

Art Unit: 2863

Wojcicki discloses an implantable drug delivery device having performance data reporting capability comprising in combination: (a) a memory having stored therein pump performance acquisition instructions (Col. 4, Lines 15-39, fig. 1, unit 5, 3); (b) at least one nonconformance monitor module for monitoring at least one pump operation variable in accordance with the pump performance acquisition instructions to produce pump performance data (Col. 4, Lines 15-39); and (c) a non-conformance management module receiving the pump performance data, determining whether the pump is conforming to performance requirements, and determining what action should be taken if non-conformance is determined (Col. 4, Lines 15-39), (d) a telemetry module that receives the performance acquisition instructions, the performance acquisition instructions being stored in the memory (fig. 1, unit 5).

Regarding claim 16, Wojcicki discloses the nonconforming module patient requirements information Is received by non-conformance module (Col. 2-3, Lines 59-7, Col. 1, Lines 29-49).

b. Claims 15, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wojcicki et al. (U.S.Patent 5,190,522) in view of Nappholz et al. (U.S. Patent 5,188,106).

Wojcicki discloses a system including the subject matter discussed above except telemetry module. Nappholz discloses telemetry module in fig. 10, unit 103, in order to determine the system status remotely (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wojcicki to have telemetry module taught by Nappholz in order to determine the system status remotely (abstract).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. a. Claims 13, 42-44, 14, 29-32, 39-41, 45, 47, 17 and 46 are allowed.
 - b. Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 and 38 are allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Independent claim 2 contain allowable subject matter., none of the prior art of record shows or fairly suggests the claimed invention (as noted in office action dated 03/09/2005).

Claims 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 and 38 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 2.

Independent claims 13, 14 and 17 contain allowable subject matter. None of the prior art of record shows or fairly suggests the claimed invention (as noted in office action dated 8-23-2004).

Regarding claims 13, 14:

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 13 and 14 are the inclusion of an implantable drug delivery device having performance data reporting capability including the pump performance data is selected from the group consisting of pump reservoir pressure, fluid outlet pressure, propellant pressure, fluid. flow rate, battery current drain, motor current drain, motor drive current profile, battery voltage, and physiologic sensor output level. It is these features found in the claim, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes this claim allowable over the prior art.

Claims 42-44 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 13.

Claims 29-32, 39-41, 45 and 47 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 14.

Regarding claims 17:

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 17 is the inclusion of an implantable drug delivery device having performance data reporting capability including

Pump manufacturer requirements information that is also received by the non-conformance management module. It is these features found in the claim, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes this claim allowable over the prior art.

Claim 46 is allowed due to their dependency on claim 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,357

Art Unit: 2863

Page 9

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 06/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - **A**. Applicant argues in the arguments that the prior art does not show 'an external acquisition device'. Nappholz discloses 'an external acquisition device' in Col. 16-17, Lines 53-14.
 - **B**. Applicant argues in the arguments that the prior art does not show 'a telemetry module that receives the performance acquisition instructions, the performance acquisition instructions being stored in the memory'. Wojcicki discloses 'a telemetry module that receives the performance acquisition instructions, the performance acquisition instructions being stored in the memory' in fig. 1, unit 5.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/001,357

Art Unit: 2863

Page 10

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 571-272-2274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956. TC2800 FAX Telephone Numbers: 703-872-9306 TC2800 Customer Service FAX - (703) 872-9317

TL

MICHAEL NGHIEM